| Applicant   | City of Imperial |  |  |
|---|------------------|--|--|
| Number of Projects  | 1                |  |  |
| Proposal Level Score  | 8                |  |  |
| Average Project Level Score   | 13               |  |  |
| Tie-Breaker Points from Program Preferences Section (If Applicable) |                  |  |  |
| Grand Total   | 21               |  |  |

| Q#                       | Questions   |                     |                         |   |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Pro                      | posal Level Evaluation  | Points<br>Available | Score<br>Proposal Level |   |
| 1                        | Does the Proposal clearly demonstrate the regional water management impact(s) due to the 2014 drought or any anticipated impacts if the drought or dry year conditions continue into 2015?  | 2                   | 5                       | 1 |
| 2                        | Did the Project Proponent identify the mandatory or voluntary water conservation measures/restrictions that have been implemented due to the 2014 drought or any planned or anticipated actions if drought or dry year conditions continue into 2015? | 2                   | 5                       | 0 |
| 3                        | Is there a map of the IRWM Region that shows the location of the project(s) included in the Proposal?   | 3                   | 1                       | 1 |
| 4                        | Does the Budget contain a summary budget for the Proposal?  | 5                   | 1                       | 1 |
| 5                        | Does the Schedule contain a summary schedule for the Proposal?  | 6                   | 1                       | 1 |
| 6                        | Collectively, do the Work Summary, Budget, and Schedule demonstrate that a majority of the projects will be ready to start construction/implementation by April 1, 2015?  | 4, 5, & 6           | 2                       | 2 |
| 7                        | Enter up to 3 points for proposals that address the Human Right to Water  | 7                   | 3                       | 2 |
| Total for Proposal Level |   |                     |                         | 8 |

|                          |  |               |                     |                        | Intertie Projects between<br>the City of El Centro, the<br>City of Imperial, and the<br>Heber Public Utilities<br>District |
|--------------------------|--|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|--|
| Project Level Evaluation |  | Attachment(s) | Points<br>Available | Score<br>Project Level | #1   |
| 8                        | Is a brief description of the project included?  | 3             | 1                   | 1                      | Yes  |
| 9                        | Is there a project map that shows the location of the project and the areas and water resources affected by the project?   | 3             | 1                   | 1                      | Yes  |
| 10                       | Does the applicant clearly explain how the proposed project will help alleviate the identified drought impacts?  | 3             | 2                   | 0                      | No   |
| 11                       | Is each physical benefit annualized over the lifecycle of the project?   | 3             | 1                   | 0                      | No   |
| 12                       | Are the anticipated primary and secondary physical benefits of the project described and quantified?   | 3             | 1                   | 1                      | Yes  |
| 13                       | Is the level of technical analysis reasonable considering the size of the project and the type of physical benefit claimed?  | 3             | 1                   | 1                      | Yes  |
| 14                       | Does the technical analysis support the claimed physical benefits?   | 3             | 2                   | 0                      | No   |
| 15                       | Is the proposed project the least cost alternative? If not, does the applicant sufficiently explain why it was selected instead of the least cost alternative?                     | 3             | 1                   | 1                      | Yes  |
| 16                       | Does the applicant discuss the necessary tasks that will result in a completed project?  | 4             | 1                   | 1                      | Yes  |
| 17                       | Do the tasks in the scope of work include appropriate deliverables (i.e., CEQA documents, plans and specifications, monitoring plans, progress reports, final report, etc.)?       | 4             | 1                   | 1                      | Yes  |
| 18                       | Does the Work Summary include a project status that indicates the current stage of each task (e.g., % complete)?   | 4             | 1                   | 1                      | Yes  |
| 19                       | If applicable, does the Work Summary include a listing of required permits and their status, and the appropriate environmental documentation for the proposed project? (N/A = Yes) | 4             | 1                   | 1                      | Yes  |
| 20                       | Are the tasks shown in the Budget consistent with the tasks discussed in the Work Summary?   | 4 & 5         | 1                   | 0                      | No   |
| 21                       | Are the costs presented in the Budget reasonable for the project type and the current stage of the project?  | 5             | 1                   | 1                      | Yes  |
| 22                       | Are the tasks in the schedule consistent with the tasks described in the Work Summary?   | 4 & 6         | 1                   | 1                      | Yes  |
| 23                       | Does the schedule demonstrate that it is reasonable to expect that the project will start construction/implementation by April 1, 2015?  | 4 &6          | 1                   | 1                      | Yes  |
| 24                       | Does the application describe the steps necessary to ensure that the proposed schedule can be met?   | 6             | 1                   | 1                      | Yes  |
|                          | Total Project Level Score  | 13            |                     |                        |  |